

## Publikationen der Mitarbeiterinnen und Mitarbeiter von SHARE-TO-CARE 2019 - 2023

1. Wehking F, Nürnberger M, Geiger F. Partizipative Entscheidungsfindung in der Notfallmedizin: Warum die deutsche Notfallmedizin in ihren aktuellen Reformbestrebungen einen verheißungsvollen Trend verschläft. Notfall Rettungsmed 2024.
2. Scheibler F, Geiger F, Wehkamp K et al. Patient-reported effects of hospital-wide implementation of shared decision-making at a university medical centre in Germany: a pre-post trial. BMJ Evid Based Med 2024; 29(2): 87-95. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjebm-2023-112462>.
3. Fischer L, Scheibler F, Schaefer C et al. Development and Testing of a Tool to Foster Shared Decision-Making between Patients and Healthcare Professionals in Clinical Practice Guidelines: Project Protocol (Preprint). 2024.
4. Clayman ML, Scheibler F, Ruffer JU et al. The Six Steps of SDM: linking theory to practice, measurement and implementation. BMJ Evid Based Med 2024; 29(2): 75-78. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjebm-2023-112289>.
5. Wehking F, Debrowere M, Danner M et al. Impact of shared decision making on healthcare in recent literature: a scoping review using a novel taxonomy. Journal of Public Health 2023.
6. Stolz-Klingenberg C, Bünzen C, Coors M et al. Comprehensive Implementation of Shared Decision Making in a Neuromedical Center Using the SHARE TO CARE Program. Patient Prefer Adherence 2023; 17: 131-139. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S388432>.
7. Scheibler F, Danner M, Rummer A et al. Empfängnisverhütung: Vergleich von Hormonspirale und Kupferspirale (HT21-05) [online]. 2023 [Zugriff: 15.06.2023]. URL: [https://www.iqwig.de/download/ht21-05\\_verhuetung-vergleich-von-hormon-und-kupferspirale\\_hta-bericht\\_v1-0.pdf](https://www.iqwig.de/download/ht21-05_verhuetung-vergleich-von-hormon-und-kupferspirale_hta-bericht_v1-0.pdf).
8. Scheibler F, Danner M, Ruffer J et al. Entscheidungshilfen als Beispiel für Leitlinienimplementierung. In: Günster C, Klauber J, Klemperer D et al (Ed). Versorgungs-Report: Leitlinien - Evidenz für die Praxis. Berlin: MWV Medizinisch Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft; 2023. S. 139-148.
9. Rummer A, Weik K, Danner M. Online-Entscheidungshilfen für Patient:innen. Eine praktische Anleitung für mehr Shared Decision Making im klinischen Alltag. In: Scheibler F, Geiger F, Ruffer J (Ed). Köln: atp-Verlag; 2023.
10. Stolz-Klingenberg C, Bünzen C, Coors M et al. Sustainability of large-scale implementation of shared decision making with the SHARE TO CARE program. Front Neurol 2022; 13: 1037447. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.1037447>.
11. Hahlweg P, Bieber C, Levke Brutt A et al. Moving towards patient-centered care and shared decision-making in Germany. Z Evid Fortbild Qual Gesundhwes 2022; 171: 49-57. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.zefq.2022.04.001>.

12. Danner M, Debrouwere M, Rummer A et al. A scattered landscape: assessment of the evidence base for 71 patient decision aids developed in a hospital setting. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2022; 22(1): 44. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-01777-x>.
13. Wehkamp K, Kiefer FB, Geiger F et al. Enhancing Specific Health Literacy with a Digital Evidence-Based Patient Decision Aid for Hypertension: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2021; 15: 1269-1279. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S311470>.
14. Swift SL, Puehler T, Misso K et al. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation versus surgical aortic valve replacement in patients with severe aortic stenosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2021; 11(12): e054222. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054222>.
15. Scheibler F, Geiger F, Wehkamp K et al. Implementierung des SHARE TO CARE-Programms am UKSH, Campus Kiel Freiburg (digital): 2021. URL: <https://www.cochrane.de/de/symposium-2021>.
16. Röseler J, Wolff R, Bauerschlag DO et al. Challenges and Controversies in the Surgical Treatment of Cervical Cancer: Open Radical Hysterectomy versus Minimally Invasive Radical Hysterectomy. *J Clin Med* 2021; 10(17). <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm10173761>.
17. Geiger F, Novelli A, Berg D et al. Klinikweite Implementierung von Shared Decision Making: Erste Ergebnisse des Kieler Innovationsfondsprojekts zum SHARE TO CARE Programm. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2021; 118(13): 225-226. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.m2021.0144>.
18. Geiger F, Hacke C, Potthoff J et al. The effect of a scalable online training module for shared decision making based on flawed video examples - a randomized controlled trial. *Patient Educ Couns* 2021; 104(7): 1568-1574. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2020.11.033>.
19. Ben Charif A, Plourde KV, Guay-Belanger S et al. Strategies for involving patients and the public in scaling-up initiatives in health and social services: protocol for a scoping review and Delphi survey. *Syst Rev* 2021; 10(1): 55. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01597-6>.
20. Schuldt A. Projekt im hohen Norden: Pflegekräfte als Decision Coaches. *Pflegezeitschrift* 2020; 73(3): 10-12.
21. Geiger F, Hacke C, Potthoff J et al. The effect of a scalable online training module for shared decision making based on flawed video examples - a randomized controlled trial. *Patient Educ Couns* 2020. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2020.11.033>.
22. Danner M, Geiger F, Wehkamp K et al. Making shared decision-making (SDM) a reality: protocol of a large-scale long-term SDM implementation programme at a Northern German University Hospital. *BMJ Open* 2020; 10(10): e037575. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037575>.
23. Scheibler F, Pfaff H, Kowalski C et al. Shared Decision Making in Brustzentren in NRW: Ergebnisse einer 10-Jahres-Trendanalyse. *Z Evid Fortbild Qual Gesundhwes* 2019; 147-148: 97-102. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.zefq.2019.09.003>.